OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY OF ALEXANDRIA PS 203 Page 1 of 5 Revision: 5

Last Reviewed: November, 2020 Effective: November 23, 2020

SUBJECT: ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF VICE CHANCELLORS,

DEANS, DEPARTMENT CHAIRS, NON-CLASSIFIED (PROFESSIONAL

AND ADMINISTRATIVE) AND NON-TEACHING ACADEMIC

PERSONNEL

**PURPOSE:** 

To develop and maintain a system for the performance evaluation of professional, administrative and non-teaching, academic personnel, whereby, through use of a formal evaluation device and consultation between employee and immediate supervisor, improvement goals may be established and performance below minimum accepted standards identified.

## **GENERAL POLICY**

- 1. Each person in a non-classified position will be evaluated annually by his/her supervisor using the Evaluation of Non-Classified Personnel Form.
- 2. Results of the evaluation are to be presented to each employee in a private conference by the supervisor and a discussion held on the contents. Should the employee disagree with the results of the evaluation, the person is invited to present a written statement to also be included in the permanent personnel record.
- 3. All persons holding professional and non-teaching academic appointments, including those who hold concurrent administrative and faculty appointments, such as vice chancellors, deans, and department chairs, will be included in the evaluation process under PS 203 for those segments of their duties which are associated with administrative appointments. Direct professorial functions are not to be included as part of the evaluation and are covered under PS 202 and associated university policies.
- 4. The main focus of evaluation will be on performance improvement, using each criterion as a standard with which to examine performance, and to identify strengths and weaknesses. An important aim of the system will be identification by the employees of improvement goals. Salary is not a part of this evaluation; however, each employee should recognize the role that evaluation plays in assigning merit salary increases.

5. The completed evaluation form on each employee will become a permanent part of his/her personnel file. Therefore, the supervisor has a responsibility to ensure the evaluations are uniformly applied, fair, accurate and relevant. Evaluation forms must be signed and dated by the employee and the immediate supervisor. Statements disagreeing with the evaluation must likewise be signed and dated by both parties. Evaluations will PS 203, Revision 4 (continued) 203-2 be the basis of personnel decisions which must bear scrutiny by both university and governmental authorities.

Each non-classified employee who supervises five or more people will be evaluated annually by those he/she supervises using the Evaluation of LSUA Administrators by Faculty/Staff form. All faculty and staff shall have the opportunity to evaluate the Chancellor and Vice Chancellors. The results of evaluations of administrators by subordinates will be submitted to the administrator's immediate supervisor as well as to the person being evaluated. In years when the Evaluation of LSUA Administrators by Faculty/Staff is done, the supervisor should review the results prior to completing the Evaluation of Non-Classified Personnel Form. All evaluations should be objective assessments of the administrator's performance based on the appropriate job description.

## Department Chair Evaluation Form (Revised 11 09 20) Academic Year \_\_\_\_\_

This review is intended to provide an evaluation that will lead to improved administrative performar well as areas of improvement should be identified. While explanations of ratings are always useful, the Strongly Disagree level of 1 as well as the Strongly Agree level of 5 require explanation.  Name of chairperson being reviewed:							
Frequency of contact with chairperson:			_				
Date of review:			_				
Rate your chairperson in the following areas an I. Leadership A. Fosters good teaching and research (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Somewhat Disagree; 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree)							
O 1 O 2 O 3 Comments:							
B. Communicates departmental needs effective (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Somewhat Disagre 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree) O 1	e; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutral); O 5					
C. Improves departmental image (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Somewhat Disagre 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree) O 1	O 4	O 5					
D. Attempts to meet individual faculty needs (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Somewhat Disagre 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree) O 1	O 4	O 5					
II. Management Ability A. Delegates effectively							
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Somewhat Disagree 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree) O 1	ee; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutral); O 5					

P.S. 203 (continue	d)				
B. <i>Makes appro</i>	priate decis	ions			
(1 = Strongly Disag 4 = Somewhat Agr			; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutral	l);
O 1 Comments:	D 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
C. Handles adm	inistrative r	esponsibilities e	efficiently and in	a timely fashion	
(1 – Strongly Disa; 4 = Somewhat Agr			; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutra	l);
O 1 Comments:	D 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
D. Plans for cha	Ū			•	
(1 = Strongly Disa 4 = Somewhat Agr		_	; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutra	l);
O 1 Comments:	D 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
III. Communicati A. Shares app		rmation with fa	culty		
(1 = Strongly Disa 4 = Somewhat Agr			; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutra	l);
_	O 2	O3 <sup>2</sup>	O 4	O 5	
B. Consults the	e faculty				
(1 = Strongly Disa 4 = Somewhat Age			; 3 = Neither Agi	ee or Disagree (Neutra	ıl);
_	0 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
C. Welcomes	faculty ideas	5			
(1 = Strongly Disa 4 = Somewhat Ag			e; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutra	ıl);
-	0 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	

P.S. 203 (continued)				
D. Explains decisions	to faculty			
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = 5		; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutral);	
O 1 O 2 Comments:	O 3	O 4	O 5	·····
E. Confers appropriate	credit for jobs wel	l done		
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = S		; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutral);	ı
O 1 O 2 Comments:	O 3	O 4	O 5	
F. Communicates an u	ınderstanding of ac	lministrators' ex	pectations	
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = 5		; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutral);	;
O 1 O 2 Comments:	O 3 ^	O 4	O 5	
IV. Personal Relations A. Works well with oth  (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = 5  O 1 O 2  Comments:	Somewhat Disagree	·	os with external stakehoree or Disagree (Neutral);	
B. Handles conflict ap	propriately			
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = 5	Somewhat Disagree	; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutral);	;
O 1 O 2 Comments:	O 3	O 4	O 5	
C. Listens to all views				
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 =	<b>—</b>	e; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutral)	;
		O 1	0.5	

VII. Academics  A. Promotes quality teaching through compliance of appropriate departmental and university policy (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Somewhat Disagree; 3 = Neither Agree or Disagree (Neutral);							
4 = Somewhat	too of Dibagico (1 tout	,					
O 1	O 2	ŎĴOĴĴ	O 4	O 5			
Comments:	AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA				-		
(1 = Strongly	Il Evaluation  / Disagree; 2 = S  at Agree; 5 = Str	_	e; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neut	ral);		
O 1	0 2	O 3	O 4	O 5			
Comments:					<del></del>		
IX. Other C	omments			-			

## Administrator Evaluation Form (Revised 11 09 20) Academic Year

		Academ			
well as areas of imp the Strongly Disagr	provement shou see level of 1 as	ld be identified. well as the Stron	While explanation	proved administrative performs of ratings are always used of 5 require explanation.	
Frequency of conta	ct with adminis	trator:			
Date of review:			···		<b></b>
Rate your administs  I. Leadership  A. Fosters good wo  (1 = Strongly Disag  4 = Somewhat Agree	ork environmen gree; 2 = Somey	t. vhat Disagree; 3		riate number. or Disagree (Neutral);	
01	) 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments:				444	_ _
4 = Somewhat Agre O 1	gree; 2 = Somevee; 5 = Strongly 2	what Disagree; 3 (Agree) O 3	O 4	or Disagree (Neutral); O 5	
4 = Somewhat Agree O 1	gree; 2 = Somevee; 5 = Strongly  2	what Disagree; 3 Agree) O 3	= Neither Agree	or Disagree (Neutral); O 5	
4 = Somewhat Agr	gree; 2 = Some ee; 5 = Strongly O 2	what Disagree; 3 y Agree) O 3	O 4	or Disagree (Neutral); O 5	
II. Management A E. Delegates efi					_
4 = Somewhat Agr	ee; 5 = Strongly	y Agree)	_	or Disagree (Neutral);	
O l Comments:	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	

P.S. 203 (continued)				
F. Makes appropriate o	lecisions			
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 =		3 = Neither Aor	ee or Disagree (Neutral):	
4 = Somewhat Agree; $5 =$		5 Nettilet Agi	ce of Disagree (Neutary,	
01 02	O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments:				
G. Handles administrati	ive responsibilities e	efficiently and in	a timely fashion	
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 =		3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutral);	
4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = 5 O 1 O 2	Strongly Agree) O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments:			<u> </u>	<u></u>
H. Plans for change				
_		O oo Nietalo oo A oo	Di (Massium)	
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = 5	_	3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Neutral);	
01 02	O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments:				
III. Communication Skill G. Shares appropriate (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = O 1 O 2 Comments:	information with en	•	ree or Disagree (Neutral); O 5	
		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
H. Consults the emplo	yees			
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2		; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutral);	
4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = O 1	Strongly Agree) O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments:				
I. Welcomes employ	ee ideas			
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2		; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Neutral);	
4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = O 1  O 2	Strongly Agree) O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments:		O 4		

P.S. 203 (conti	inued)				
***************************************					
J. Explains	decisions to	employees			
(1 = Strongly I 4 = Somewhat			; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Ne	utral);
O 1 Comments:	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
K. Confers	appropriate d	credit for jobs wel	l done		
(1 = Strongly I) 4 = Somewhat			; 3 = Neither Agr	ee or Disagree (Ne	utral);
O 1 Comments: _	0 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
L. Commui	nootos an ur	idoretanding of th	o conjor odmini	stration's expecta	tions
				ree or Disagree (Ne	
4 = Somewhat	Agree; 5 = St	ongly Agree)	_	_ ,	,,
O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments: _					
IV. Personal I E. Works w		rs by building pos	itive relationshi <sub>l</sub>	os with external s	takeholders
(1 = Strongly I 4 = Somewhat			e; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Ne	eutral);
01	0 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
Comments: _					
F. Handles	conflict app	ropriately			
(1 = Strongly I 4 = Somewhat			e; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (Ne	eutral);
O 1 Comments:	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	
,-,···					***************************************
G. Listens	to all views				
(1 = Strongly l 4 = Somewhat			e; 3 = Neither Ag	ree or Disagree (No	eutral);
0.1	-	O 3	0.4	0.5	

P.S. 203 (continued)				203-11
Comments:				
H. Displays positive relation	nships with the	department en	nployees	
(1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Sor 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Stron	gly Agree)	; 3 = Neither Agr	ree or Disagree (Neutral);	
Comments:			O 5	
V. Fairness A. Treats employees equally an (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Sor 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strong	<i>d equitably</i> newhat Disagree Igly Agree)	; 3 = Neither Agr	-	<del></del>
O 1 O 2 Comments:	O 3	O 4	O 5	
B. Demonstrates consistency (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Sor 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strong	igly Agree)		_ ,	
•	O 3	O 4	O 5	
C. Understands individual street (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = So 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Strong	mewhat Disagre			
	O 3	O 4	O 5	
VI. Budget and Resources  A. Provides employees adequate budget (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Son 4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Stron	mewhat Disagree	-	-	of the departmental
O 1 O 2 Comments:	O 3		O 5	
B. Encourages submission of p. department and the university (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Son	roposals for exte	rnal/internal fun		
4 = Somewhat Agree; 5 = Stron O 1 O 2	ngly Agree) O 3	04	O 5	
Comments:				

P.S. 203 (co	ntinued)			
		e; 3 = Neither Agr	ree or Disagree (Neut O 5	tral);
Comments:		 ——————————————————————————————————————		
VIII. Other	Comments			

APPROVED:

Paul Coreil, Ph.D., Chancellor

11/23/2020 Date